U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: John Broshar PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Coral Township/McHenry County

FILE NUMBER: 200400806 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: ☐ There are no waters on the project site. ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MARENGO SOUTH. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MARENGO SOUTH, HA 463. □ USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: MARENGO SOUTH, 1968. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: GENOA, 1938. Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2002 ■ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY... Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): One wetland exists on the subject subject property. This wetland is isolated and therefore, not under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationalc] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Recommended by: Approved by: Date: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary

from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

REGULATORY PROJECT	Γ MANAGE	R: K	ate Bliss		Date:	10/19/04			
PROJECT REVIEW/DETI	ERMINATI	ON COM	PLETED		the office Y roject site	(Y/N) (Y/N)	Date: 10/ Date:	19/04	
PROJECT LOCATION IN State: County: Center coordinate Approximate size Name of waterway SITE CONDITIONS:	s of site by l of site/prop	atitude & erty (inclu				Illinois McHenr 42-13-2 120 Kishwar	0.2420, 88-3	32.46.9286	
Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear	Unknown
Lake				\Box			\neg		
LANC		1 LLI	1 🖵	🗀	! ⊔		\bot \square		
						 	<u> </u>		
River									
River Stream									
River Stream Dry Wash									
River Stream Dry Wash Mudflat									
River Stream Dry Wash Mudflat Sandflat									
River Stream Dry Wash Mudflat Sandflat Wetlands Slough									
River Stream Dry Wash Mudflat Sandflat Wetlands Slough									
River Stream Dry Wash Mudflat Sandflat Wetlands Slough Prairie pothole									
River Stream Dry Wash Mudflat Sandflat Wetlands Slough Prairie pothole Wet meadow									
River Stream Dry Wash Mudflat Sandflat Wetlands Slough Prairie pothole Wet mendow Playa lake									
River Stream Dry Wash Mudflat Sandflat Wetlands Slough Prairie pothole Wet meadow Playa lake Vernul pool Natural pond									

Migratory Bird Rule Factors¹:	If Knov	γα	If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment			
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination	
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?						
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?						
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?			│ □	×		
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?				⊠		
¹ Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for appl non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.	icability o	f the Migrator	y Bird Rule to	apply to unsite, non-	jurisdictional, isolated,	

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Preliminary ☐ Or Approved ☒

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Bloomingdale and St. Charles Roads, Unicorporated DuPage County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 200400992 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☑ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable water of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: LOMBARD. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: LOMBARD, HA 143. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: LOMBARD, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Waters of the U.S. on site are non-jurisdictional areas because the are upland excavated areas.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Approved by: ORIGINAL SIGNED Date: 10-20-04
Approved by:

occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: 200400992										
REGULATORY PROJECT M	LANAGE	ER: D	iedra W	illis	I	ate: Octol	ber 27,	2004		
PROJECT LOCATION INCO			PLETE		In the office te project s		Y/N) Y/N)		ber 18 2004 ber 21 2004	
PROJECT LOCATION INFO State: County: Center coordinates of Approximate size of: Name of waterway of SITE CONDITIONS:	f site by l	latitude & erty (incl				D 4: 3.	25 асте	1.9653 & 88- es I Creek	-5-10 -7 907	
Type of aquatic resource	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 •	c 10-25	ac 25-	50 nc	>50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake			IП	_{						
River	TF	1 ल −	 	<u> </u>	─────────────────────────────────────			† 		Fi
Stream	Ħ	† <u> </u>	⇈	┪	一一一	一一一		 		T
Dry Wash		1 🗖	╽╔	一百		 				-
Mudflat			18		 					
Sandflat				$\neg \Box$	 [10		1 🗖
Wetlands		18	! 🗇		_ _					
Slough										
Prairie pothole										
Wet meadow		1 🗖								1
Playa lake		1 🗖						 		
Vernal pool		1 🗂	18	-1 				Tin .		
Natural pond			╽╔	一一				1万		1 🗂 🗀
Other water (identify type) drainage ditch									250	
¹ Check appropriate boxes that bes jurisdictional aquatic resource are		type of iso	lated, no	on-navigab	le, intra-stat	e water pre	sent an	d best estima	te for size of	non-
Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :				If Know	0	If Unkno		sional Judgm	es t	
				Y. S. C.	No	Predicte to Oceu	d I	Not Expected Occur	to Not A	ble To Make ermination
Is or would be used as habitat for i Migratory Bird Treaties?	hirds prot	ected by								
Is or would be used as habitat by o cross state lines?	ther migr	atory bird	s that						Ø	
Is or would be used as habitat for	endangere	ed species?							Ŭ ⊠	
Is used to irrigate crops sold in int	erstate co	mmerce?								
Check appropriate boxes that bes non-navigable, intra-state aquatic			or applic	cability of	the Migrator	y Bird Rul	e to app	oly to onsite, i	nan-jurisdict -	ional, isolated,
TYPE OF DETERMINATION						reliminar;		Or Approv		
OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL I rationale used to determine NJ connections; and paragraph 4	D, inclu	ding infor	mation	reviewed	to assess p	otential na	avigatl			

APPLICANT: Advocate Healthcare PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 44 Acre Property Southwest of the Intersection of 179th Street and LaGrange Road in Orland Township, Cook County, Illinois (Section 33, T36N, R12E).
FILE NUMBER: 200401136 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☑ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: TINLEY PARK.
 ☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: TINLEY PARK, 152. ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: TINLEY PARK, 1993. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: TINLEY PARK, 1929. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ☑ Aerials (Name & Date): Cook County 1999 ☑ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ☑ Site Visit Conducted on: 27 September 2004 ☑ Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Area 1, as identified on the wetland delineation prepared by JFNcw, appears to have been excavated in an old stream channel. The channel shows up on the cook county soil survey, aerial photos and the 1993 USGS Tinley Park Quad. Area 1 drains to the southwest into a tributary to Marley Creek, which eventually drains into the Des Plaines River, a navigable water and is under jurisdiction of this office. Area 2 does not appear to exhibit a hydrologic surface connection to navigable waters and is not under jurisdiction of this office.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated 18 June 2004, prepared by JFNew. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Recommended by: ORIGINAL SIGNED Date:
Approved by: Date:
¹ Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: 200401136				
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:	Steve Gagnon	Date: 2	8 October 2	004
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION C		In the office the project site Y	(- · - ·)	Date: ate: 27 September 2004
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:				-
State:			Illinois	
County:			Cook	
Center coordinates of site by latitud	le & longitudinal c	coordinates:	41 -33-49	9.3846; 87 -51-29.6158
Approximate size of site/property (i	ncluding uplands	& in acres):	44	
Name of waterway or watershed: SITE CONDITIONS:		·	Des Plain	es

Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash								1	
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands									
Slough									1 🗖
Prairie pothole									10
Wet meadow		i 🗇							10
Playa lake									
Vernal pool	┪置							1	10
Natural pond									10
Other water (identify type) excavated pond	- N								
Check appropriate boxes that t jurisdictional aquatic resource a		type of iso	i lated, non-	l navigable, in	tra-state wat	er present an	d best estima	 ate for size of	non-

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :	If Known			If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment			
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination		
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?	×						
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?	×						
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?							
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?							
¹ Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for appli non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.	icability of	the Migrat	ory Bird Rule to	apply to onsite, non-	jurisdictional, isolated,		

Preliminary ☐ Or Approved ☒

TYPE OF	DETERM	INATION:
---------	--------	----------

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Remington Homes PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 160 Acre Property East Of IL Route 12, North and South Of Molidor Road In Volo, Lake County, Illinois (Section 27, T45N, R9E) FILE NUMBER: 200401076 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:

☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. ☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WAUCONDA, 1993. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: GRAYSLAKE; 1920. Aerials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: October 14, 2004 Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1, Wetland 2 (ADID Site 72), Wetland 3, as referenced in your delineation report, do not have a surface connection to a navigable water and therefore are consider isolated and not under the jurisdiction of this office. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other 🔲 wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary 10/22/04 Recommended by: Approved by: Date: 1Wetlands are identified and delineated using a established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dones, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: 200401076				
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:	Paul Leffler	Date:	October 22,	2004
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION C	OMPLETED:	In the office At the project site	(Y/N) (Y/N)	Date: October 22, 2004 Date: October 14, 2004
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:		• •		
State:			Illinois	
County:			Lake	
Center coordinates of site by latitud	de & longitudin	al coordinates:	42 -20-5	4.1675 88 -10-0.6970
Approximate size of site/property (including uplan	ds & in acres):	160	
Name of waterway or watershed:			Fox Riv	er
SITE CONDITIONS:				

Type of aquatic resource	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake								1	
River							10		
Stream					10				
Dry Wash							16		10
Mudflat			İÖ	TÖ		Ü			10 "
Sandflat			1 🗖 🗀			10	10		
Wetlands			18	✝	 		T <u>-</u>		
Slough									
Prairie pothole			10		18	1 🗖 🗀	1 🗆		
Wet meadow		1 🖺							
Playa lake							1 🗖		18
Vernal pool	╅		16	ĪĒ	$\top \Box$		18		
Natural pond					18				
Other water (identify type)									

Migratory Bird Rule Factors':			If Unknown Use Best Pro	nown st Professional Judgment				
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination			
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?			Ø					
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?			Ø					
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?								
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?			I⊠					
¹ Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for appli non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.	al for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolat							

Preliminary ☐ Or Approved ☒

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Mr. Gerard McElduff PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 0.5 Acre Property Located At 686 Catalina Court In The Village Of Lindenhurst, Lake County, Illinois (Section 27, T46N, R10E)

FILE NUMBER: 200401148	PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office ⊠Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determ	nination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable
action. (33 CFR 331.2)	
Based on available info	rmation:
☐ There are no waters	on the project site.
	dictional waters on the project site.
There are waters of	the United States on the project site.

☐ There are no waters on the project site. ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site. ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent ² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US. except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed
U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ANTIOCH, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Acrials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: September 23, 2004 Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The one wetland on the property, located in the backyard of the residence and extends north to Golden Road, is considered isolated and therefore not regulated by this office.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
 ✓ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ✓ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ✓ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated September 8, 2004, prepared by DK Environmental Services, Inc. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Approved by: ORIGINAL SIGNED
Approved by: UKIGINAL SIGNED.

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: 200401148				
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:	Paul Leffler	Date:	October 20,	2004
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION	COMPLETED:	In the office At the project site	(Y/N) (Y/N)	Date: October 20, 2004 Date: September 23, 2004
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION	:			
State:			Illinois	
County:			Lake	
Center coordinates of site by latit	tude & longitudin	al coordinates:	42 -25-5	58.9302 88 -3-1.7379
Approximate size of site/property	(including uplan	nds & in acres):	0.5	
Name of waterway or watershed:			Fox Riv	er Watershed
SITE CONDITIONS:				

Lake						
Stream Dry Wash Mudflat			<u> </u>			
Dry Wash						
Mudflat					i	
					[
Sandflat						
V#2241221		 				
Wetlands					 	
Slough		TÖ			1	
Prairie pothole	10		T 🗆			
Wet meadow					1	
Playa lake						
Vernal pool					Τ'	
Natural pond	16			1 🗂		
Other water (identify type)						

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :	If Knov	rn	If Unknown Use Best Pro	If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment			
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination		
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?							
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?			Ø				
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?							
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?				×			
¹ Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for appl non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.	icability of	the Migrat	ory Bird Rule to	apply to onsite, non-	jurisdictional, isolated,		

Preliminary ☐ Or Approved ☒

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District APPLICANT: Ryland Homes PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: The 167 Acre Property Located Northeast And Southeast of Fish Lake Road and Route 60 In Volo, Lake County, Illinois (Section 1 and 2, T44N, R9E) FILE NUMBER: 200400848 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:
☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: __ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: GRAYSLAKE; 1920.
 ☑ Aerials (Name & Date):1939 and 2002 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: October 7, 2004

Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1, as referenced in your Wetland Assessment, drains offsite via a culvert to the south and then immediately to the west into an intermittent irrigation ditch that drains to a large wetland area that drains south and west via intermittent drainage ways. Finally the drainage leads north to Fish Lake and ultimately to the Fox River, a navigable waterway. Wetlands 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and Farmed Wetland 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are considered isolated and therefore not under the jurisdiction of this office. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil ☐ other wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** ☑ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated April 17, 2003 (revised January 15, 2004), prepared by

Recommended by:

ORIGINAL SIGNED

Date:

Date:

Christopher B. Burke Engineering, LTD.

This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: 200400848					
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:	Paul Leffler	Date:	October 15,	2004	
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION O	COMPLETED:	In the office At the project site	(Y/N) (Y/N)		October 15, 2004 October 7, 2004
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:			\ <i>y</i>		
State:			Illinois		
County:			Lake		
Center coordinates of site by latitu	de & longitudin	al coordinates:	42 -19-2	25.7644	88 -8 -32.6453
Approximate size of site/property (including uplan	ds & in acres):	167		
Name of waterway or watershed:			Fox		

Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River					To				
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands	X								
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake								·-	
Vernal pool		10							
Natural pond	18								
Other water (identify type)									
¹Check appropriate boxes that be jurisdictional aquatic resource ar		type of iso	lated, non-	navigable, i	atra-state wat	ler present ar	d best estim	ate for size of	non-

Migratory Bird Rule Factors!:	If Known Use Best Professional Judgment					
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination	
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?						
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?						
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?						
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?			⊠			
Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for appl non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.	icability of	the Migrat	ory Bird Rule to	apply to onsite, non-	jurisdictional, isolated,	

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

SITE CONDITIONS:

Preliminary 🔲 Or Approved 🛛

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Combined Development Services, Inc.
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Lot 2A in the Meadow Lakes Business Park in Aurora, DuPage County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 200400742 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☑ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: NORMANTOWN. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: NORMANTOWN, HA 210. ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: NORMANTOWN, 1993. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ☑ Acrial Photographs (Name & Date):November 2002 ☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY ☒ Site Visit Conducted on: October 12, 2004 ☑ Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland located within project area is an isolated wetland with no hydrological connection to a navigable waterway.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Recommended by: ORIGHNAL SIGNED Date: 10-14-04
Approved by:

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: 200400742 REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Diedra Willis Date: October 14, 2004 PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office (Y/N) Date: October 14, 2004 At the project site (Y/N) Date: October 12, 2004 PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION: State: Illinois County: DuPage Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: 41-44-46.5419 & 88-13-34.3158 Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): Unknown Name of waterway or watershed: Waubonsie Creek

SITE CONDITIONS:

Type of aquatic resource!	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 nc	l i nortalità	10-25 se	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear Teet	Uaknowa
Lake								, 101	
River	 		<u> </u>				tā		† <u> </u>
Stream								<u> </u>	18
Dry Wash									18
Mudflat	15-								
Sandflat	18						15		
Wetlands	╽而	ΙĦ					 	···	T 🗖
Slough	ΙÕ						! 🖯		† <u> </u>
Prairie pothole	╽त	 	$\overline{\Box}$	ī	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	↑
Wet meadow	İΠ	ΙĦ				ī	ऻऻ		†
Playa lake		<u> </u>				Ħ	 		†
Vernal pool	 			Ħ	Π	i Ti	† 		╅╄
Natural pond	 	⊺	♬	H	Ħ	Ħ	Ħ		
Other water (identify type)									
¹ Check appropriate boxes that beginning in the property of the second adjustic resource are		type of isol	ated, non-	navigable, in	tra-state wate	er present an	d best estima	te for size of	non-

Migratory Bird Rule Factors':	If Known		If Unknown Use Best Pro	fessional Judgment	
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?					
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?					⊠
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?					
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?					
¹ Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for appli non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.	icability of th	e Migrato	ry Bird Rule to	apply to onsite, non-	-jurisdictional, isolated,
TYPE OF DETERMINATION:		P	reliminary [Or Approved	<u></u> ⊠

APPLICANT: New Faith Baptist Church PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: New Faith Baptist Church Southwest of the Intersection of Vollmer Road and Central Avenue in Matteson, Cook County, Illinois (Section 16, T35N, R13E).
FILE NUMBER: 200400815 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☑ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the cbb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: HARVEY, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: CALUMET, 1902. Aerials (Name & Date): Cook County 1999 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: 29 June 2004 Other information: Phone Conversation with Matteson Public Works about the storm sewer drainage in the area.
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetlands 5, 7, 15 and 16, as identified in Figure 6 of your wetland delineation report prepared by Huff & Huff, Inc., exhibit a hydrologic connection to navigable waters and are under jurisdiction of this office. Wetlands 1, 2, 3 and 19 do not appear to exhibit a hydrologic surface connection to navigable waters and are not under jurisdiction of this office.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated 11 June 2004, prepared by Huff & Huff, Inc. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Recommended by: MITCHELL A. ISOE Date: 10 State: 10 Stat
Approved by: Date:
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,
occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: 200400815 REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Steve Gagnon Date: 8 October 2004 PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office (Y/N) Date: At the project site Y (Y/N) Date: 29 June 2004 PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION: State: Illinois County: Cook Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: 41 -31-42.1762; 87 -44-49.9602 Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): 28 Name of waterway or watershed: Little Calumet River SITE CONDITIONS:

Type of aquatic resource	0-1 ac	1-3 sc	3-5 ac	5-10 nc	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear	Unknown
								feet	
Lake									l. 🖵
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat		18							
Wetlands				$\overline{\boxtimes}$					
Slough									
Prairie pothole		10							
Wet meadow									
Piaya lake									
Vernal pool		10-							
Natural pond									
Other water (identify type)									
la la la la la la la la la la la la la l	-	1							
'Check appropriate boxes that b jurisdictional aquatic resource a		type of isol	iated, non-	-navigable, in	itra-state wate	er present an	u dest estima	te for size of i	DOR-

Migratory Bird Rule Factors!:	If Known	7		fessional Judgment					
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination				
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?	×								
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?	×		Ф						
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?									
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?									
Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.									

TYPE	OF	DETE	RMIN	ATION:

Preliminary 🔲 🤇)r Approved 🛭	۷
-----------------	---------------	---

APPLICANT: Axiom Group PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 15 Acre Property Southeast of the Intersection of Cicero Ave and Sauk Trail, in Richton Park, Cook County, Illinois (Section 34, T35N, R13E).
FILE NUMBER: 200401044 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed X U.S. Fich and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory, STEGER
 U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: STEGER. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: STEGER, 209. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: STEGER, 1990. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: CRETE, 1949. Acrials (Name & Date):AirPhoto 1999 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: 7 September 2004 Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetlands on the property drain into a storm sewer system, then to the north into a tributary to Butterfield Creek, which drains into the Little Calumet River, a navigable water. Since the wetlands are underground for an extended length and there is no historic surface connection apparent on the topos or airphotos that is being replaced by the pipe, the wetlands are considered isolated.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
 ✓ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ✓ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ✓ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated This office does not confirm your wetland boun SIGNED Recommended by: Date:
Recommended by: Date:
Approved by: Date:
¹ Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: 200401044 REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Steve Gagnon Date: 6 October 2004 PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office (Y/N) Date: At the project site Y (Y/N) Date: 7 September 2004 PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION: State: Illinois County: Cook Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: 41 -28-55.4776 87 -43-53.1964 Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): Name of waterway or watershed: Little Calumet River SITE CONDITIONS:

0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac Lin	t Unknown
	╽						
16							
16		İΠ					
		市	iΠ		Ħ		
15-			╽╗				
	П						
╅	ऻऻ	╽Ӛ	╽╗				
-							
	0-1 ac	0-1 ac 1-3 ac					

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ^t :	If Known		If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment			
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination	
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?	×					
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?						
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?					\boxtimes	
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?					X	
¹ Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for appl non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.	icability of t	he Migrat	ory Bird Rule to	apply to onsite, non-	jurisdictional, isolated,	

TVDE	$\Delta \mathbf{r}$	DETI	CDMI	IN A	TION:

Preliminary	Or Approved	• X
rremminary	i i tiratoninie	1 1/2

APPLICANT: ALDI, Incorporated PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: South of the Intersection 170th Street and Torrence Avenue in Lansing, Cook County, Illinois (Section 30, T36N, R15E).
FILE NUMBER: 200401086 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairic potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: CALUMET CITY. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: CALUMET CITY, 1991. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): AirPhoto 1999 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: 27 September 2004 Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Large wetland on the property drains into a stormsewer system and likely into the Little Calumet River, but historic maps do not show evidence of a hydrologic surface connection that the subsurface drainage is replacing.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
 ☑ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☑ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationalc]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundarles This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Approved by: ORIGINAL SIGNED Date: 10/6/04
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: 200401086	•									
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Steve Gagnon Date: 6 October 2004										
PROJECT REVIEW/DETER PROJECT LOCATION INFO State: County: Center coordinates of Approximate size of: Name of waterway of SITE CONDITIONS:	PRMATION STATE OF THE PROPERTY	ON: atitude & erty (inclu	longitu ding up	At th	rdinates: in acres):	site Y	Illinois Cook 41 -35- 2 Little C	Date: 27 Scp 12.2736; 87 -	33-22.6503	_
Type of aquatic resource	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 a	t 10-	25 ис	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake	 	$\vdash \cap$	\vdash	\vdash	 		\vdash	$\vdash \sqcap$	ICCL	†
River	t∺ —	Ħ	一百	15	一百		Ħ	15	1	†
Stream	╁╄	ऻ	 	- - - 			ī			1 🗂
Dry Wash			Ħ	16	一百					16
Mudflat	 	Ħ	一					 		†
Sandflat		Ħ								16
Wetlands										
Slough								1 🗖 👚		
Prairie pothole	一百							1 		
Wet meadow										
Playa lake								1 🗖		
Vernal pool										
Natural pond										
Other water (identify type)										
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>								
¹ Check appropriate boxes that bes jurisdictional aquatic resource are		type of iso	ated, no	n-navigab	le, intra-st	ate wate	er present a	nd best estima	te for siz e of	non-
Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :				If Know	n		Unknown e Best Profe	essional Judge	nent	# # H
				Yes	No		edicted	Not Expected		Able To Make
					<u> </u>		Occur	Occur		termination
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties?	•	•		⊠				<u> </u>		
Is or would be used as habitat by coross state lines?			that							
Is or would be used as habitat for					 	무		$\frac{\square}{\square}$		
Is used to irrigate crops sold in int Check appropriate boxes that bes	erstate col	nmerce: notential f	ar annli			tory Bire	d Rule to ar	_		ional isolated
non-navigable, intra-state aquatic			a when	amily ut	me mugiai	any m il	u ruit to ap	ipiy to onsite,	mon-jui taule	
TYPE OF DETERMINATION	N:					Prelim	йпагу 🗌	Or Appro	ved 🗵	
OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce										

connections; and paragraph 4 - site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):